



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/573,754

03/29/2006

Tatsuya Nakazawa

029471-0201

4000

22428 7590 01/15/2010  
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP  
SUITE 500  
3000 K STREET NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

MASUR, PAUL H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2464

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/15/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On 1/12/2010, the examiner had an interview with the applicant's representative to discuss the case. During this conversation, the examiner presented several issues to the applicant's representative in an attempt to place the case in condition for allowance.

Specifically, the examiner presented issues pertaining to lack of antecedent basis in claims 53, 54, 55, and 61. The applicant's representative agreed to remedy these issues. In addition, the examiner presented limitations in claims 48-51, 53-59, 61, and 68 as being indefinite. These limitations pertained to the error concealment processing and discarding of encoded data packets that were delayed or lost. The examiner noted that the limitations were potentially indefinite as it was not readily clear how the error concealment processing or discarding of encoded packets were initiated. As a means to better define the invention in the claims, and overcome these indefinite matters, the examiner suggested that the applicant incorporate into the claims the matter in fig. 4, steps 4-9, and fig. 5, steps 15-22, for the first embodiment of the invention. For the second embodiment of the invention, the examiner suggested the applicant incorporate into the claims the matter in fig. 8, steps 34-40, and fig. 9, steps 56-64.

Due to scheduling constraints and the examiner's need to take emergency leave, the examiner and applicant's representative were not able to come to a expeditious conclusion of these matters. The examiner sincerely appreciates the representative's patience and understanding through this process. The examiner invites the applicant's representative to contact him in the future, if the client so desires, to discuss these issues and any other issues further.